



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Detection of ESBL Producing Gram Negative Bacteria and its Sensitivity Pattern in Intensive Care Unit

Vasumathi A^{1*}, Thenmozhi Valli PR², Senthamarai S³, Ramani CP⁴, Raja Jagadeesan⁵

¹Assistant Professor, Govt Stanley Medical College, Chennai, India.

²Dean, Meenakshi Medical College & Research Institute, Enathur, Kanchipuram, India.

³Professor, Meenakshi Medical College & Research Institute, Enathur, Kanchipuram, India.

⁴Professor, Institute of Microbiology, Madras Medical College, India.

⁵Senior Assistant Professor, King Institute, Guindy, India.

Manuscript No: IJPRS/V5/I1/00025, Received On: 05/02/2016, Accepted On: 14/02/2016

ABSTRACT

Extended Spectrum of Beta Lactamase (ESBL) producing organisms are the major problem and great challenge to clinicians, microbiologists and infection control professionals. These enzymes compromise the efficacy of all beta lactams by hydrolysing the beta lactam ring. In this study, total of 95 gram negative isolates were isolated from various clinical samples from ICU patients and subjected for antibiotic susceptibility testing. These isolates were screened for ESBL and confirmed by combined disc diffusion method. Out of 95 GNB, 37 were ESBL producers. They are highly sensitive to imipenem, followed by ofloxacin, cefoperazone-sulbactam, amikacin and amoxycylav. Proper antibiotic usage and promoting awareness will help in preventing the multidrug resistance among the organisms.

KEYWORDS

ESBL, GNB, ICU

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase (ESBL) after the successful use of 3rd generation cephalosporin in medical field, further limits the therapeutic options for the clinicians¹. ESBLs are encoded by plasmids and they have the ability to hydrolyse the penicillins, cephalosporins and monobactams².

The drug resistance among bacterial pathogens are of major concern, because of the limited availability of newer antibiotics as well as dissemination of these resistances to the other group of antibiotics such as, aminoglycosides, trimethoprim, sulphonamides, tetracycline and

chloramphenicol, as genes for these antibiotics also located on large plasmids codes for ESBL^{3,4}.

Intensive care unit (ICU) is a favourable and potential place for infections due to the immunocompromised population, frequent use of invasive procedures and nursing pave the way for the growth and transmission of infections and antibiotic resistance⁵.

This study was done to isolate the ESBL strains from various clinical samples and to work on its antibiotic susceptibility pattern against various antibiotics in Intensive care unit patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out in Microbiology department, at Stanley Medical College, Chennai. Total of 110 samples were collected (urine, tracheobroncheal aspirates, blood, wound

*Address for Correspondence:

Senthamarai S.,

Professor,

Meenakshi Medical College & Research Institute,

Enathur, Kanchipuram, India.

E-Mail Id: thamaraimicro@gmail.com

swab and drainage tube tip) from patients admitted in intensive care unit (ICU).

Ethical committee clearance was obtained from the Institute and informed consent was obtained from all the patients. All the samples were inoculated onto nutrient agar, blood agar and incubated at 37°C overnight. Using standard biochemical tests for gram negative bacilli, colonies were confirmed^{6,7}.

The antibiogram was performed by Kirby Bauer disc diffusion technique on Muller Hinton Agar with commercially available discs (Hi-Media) Gentamycin (10mcg), Amikacin (30mcg), cotrimoxazole, Ciprofloxacin (5mcg), Ofloxacin (5mcg), Ceftazidime (30mcg), Ceftriaxone (30mcg), Cefotaxime (30mcg), Piperacillin (10mcg), Piperacillin Tazobactam (100/10mcg), Amoxycylav20/10 (30mcg), Cefoperazone-Sulbactam (75/15mcg) and Imipenum (10mcg).

Results were interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.⁸

Phenotypic Detection of ESBL – Screening Method⁸

ESBLs production was performed according to the CLSI Screening procedures, using indicator cephalosporins, ceftriaxone (30µg), ceftazidime (30µg), and Cefotaxime (30µg). Isolates exhibiting zone size ≤ 25mm with ceftriaxone ≤ 22mm for ceftazidime and ≤ 27mm with cefotaxime were considered as ESBLs producer.

ESBL- Combined Disc Diffusion Method⁸

From the colonies of gram negative bacilli, 0.5 McFarland’s turbidity standard suspension was prepared. Lawn culture was made on Muller Hinton Agar plate with this inoculum. Discs of Ceftazidime and Ceftazidime + Clavulanic acid (30 mcg/10 mcg) were placed aseptically on the surface of MHA. The distance of 15mm was kept between the disc and overnight incubation was done at 37°C. An increase of ≥ 5mm in zone diameter of Ceftazidime + Clavulanic acid in comparison to the zone diameter of Ceftazidime alone confirmed the ESBL production by the organisms.

RESULTS

Table 1: Distribution of gram negative bacteria and specimens

Isolates (n=95)	Percentage	<i>E.coli</i>	<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	<i>Proteus spp</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Acinetobacter spp</i>
Urine (n=48)	50.5	22	12	10	3	1
Drainage tube tips (n=16)	16.8	7	3	3	3	0
Wound swab (n=13)	13.7	3	2	3	5	0
Blood (n=9)	9.5	2	6	0	0	1
Tracheo broncheal aspirates (n=9)	9.5	2	6	1	0	0
Total		36 (37.9%)	29 (30.5%)	17 (17.9%)	11 (11.6%)	2 (11.6%)

Among the 95 isolates of gram negative bacilli, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella spp* accounts the predominance of 37.9% & 30.5%.

Table 2: Distribution of ESBL producers among the GNB

Species	Total number	ESBL producers	Percentage (%)
<i>E.coli</i>	36	16	43.3
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp	29	13	35.1
<i>Proteus</i> spp	17	4	10.8
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	11	4	10.8
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp	2	0	0
Total	95	37	38.9 %

Among the 95 GNB, 38.9% were ESBL producers. 43.3% of *E.coli* & 35.1% of *Klebsiella* spp were predominant ESBL producers.

Table 3: Antibiotic Sensitivity pattern of ESBL producing GNB

Antibiotics (mcg)	Number of isolates (n=37)	Percentage (%)
Imipenem (10)	36	97.3
Ofloxacin (5)	27	72.9
Cefoperazone-Sulbactam (75/15)	25	67.6
Amikacin (30)	24	64.8
Amoxyclav (30)	24	64.8
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	15	40.5
Gentamycin (10)	9	24.3
Ciprofloxacin (5)	9	24.3
Piperacillin (100)	8	21.6
Cotrimoxazole	5	13.5

100% sensitivity was observed with Imipenem. Ofloxacin, Cefoperazone-Sulbactam, Amikacin, and Amoxyclav showed sensitivity of 72.9%, 67.6%, 64.8% and 64.8 % respectively.

DISCUSSION

Infections in ICU along with drug resistant organisms are dangerous and are associated with increased morbidity and mortality (10). Initially it was confined to *Klebsiella* spp but now it is disseminated to all members of enterobacteriaceae and other bacteria also¹¹.

In our study conducted in ICU, among the total 95 GNB isolates, 37 (38.9%) were ESBL producers. 35.5%, 35.5% and 39.8% were the ESBL producers in the studies of, Loveena Oberon *et al*, Anago *et al* and Kashyap *et al*. Our study is in accordance with these reports.

Slightly higher rate of ESBL production, 52.49% and lower rate, 13.66% were observed with the studies of Meeta Sharma *et al* and Tammana *et al*, respectively.

A very high rate, 74% of ESBL production was noted in ICU patients by Harakuni *et al*. Various Indian studies revealed the rate of ESBL production varies between 25 to 80%. It has been proved that the prevalence of ESBL among clinical isolates varies from country to country and institution to institution with in the same country.

Urine was the predominant sample (51.4%) of isolation of ESBL strains in this study. It is concordant with the studies of Ndugulile *et al*, Loveena Oberon *et al*., Shanthi M *et al* & Tammanah *et al*, whereas respiratory tract samples & blood were the predominant samples of isolation in the reports of Meeta Sharma *et al* & Vemula *et al*, respectively.

Among the 37 ESBL strains of GNB isolated in our study, *Escherichia coli* (43.3%) were the predominant species, followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (35.1%). *E.coli* and *Klebsiella* were the predominant species of ESBL producers, reported in various studies. It was 46.51% & 44.44 % ESBL producers in the study of Variya *et al*, India. In Pakistan, the prevalence rate reported were, 41% in *E.coli* and 36% in *Klebsiella pneumonia*, by Jabeen *et al*. Similar predominance were also observed by Anago *et al*, Ndugulile *et al* and Tammanah *et al*. Whereas *Klebsiella* was the predominant species of

isolation in the study of Meeta Sharma *et al* and 72 % were *Acinetobacter* spp in the study of Ali *et al*.

In our current study, imipenem was found to be the highly sensitive drug to ESBL producing strains. Similar high susceptibility rate to imipenem were reported by Anago *et al*, Meeta Sharma *et al*, Muvunyi *et al* and Mohammedi-Mehr *et al*. This could be due to the rationale use, stability and high activity of carbapenem against most β lactamases.

Moderate sensitivity of 72.9%, 67.6%, 64.8 % and 64.8% was observed to ofloxacin, cefoperazone-sulbactam, amikacin and amoxycylav, respectively. Increased and inappropriate use of this group of drugs may lead to resistance in future.

In our study, ESBL strains isolated in ICU were found to less sensitive to gentamycin, ciprofloxacin, piperacillin and cotrimoxazole. Easy availability, indiscriminate use and inappropriate prescription may be the reason behind this.

CONCLUSION

In ICU, the prevalence of ESBL producing gram negative bacteria emphasizes the need for an early screening for beta lactamases which could be useful in providing an appropriate treatment and helps in preventing the development of multidrug resistant strains. Based on the epidemiological data and institutional guidelines, every health care centres must concentrate on its own antimicrobial policies to improve the patient outcome and cost effective therapy. Continuous surveillance of ICU and proper implementation of infective control measures are very essential.

REFERENCES

1. Bele, S. D., Sharma, S. L., Jayanthi Rebecca, J. (2014). A study of ESBL producing organisms and antibiotic susceptibility testing in Intensive care unit of tertiary care centre, *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Science Review and Research*, 27(1), 14, 85-88.

2. Chakraborty, D., Basu, S., & Das, S. (2011). Study on some Gram negative multi drug resistant bacteria and their molecular characterization. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research*, 4, 108-112.
3. Scheld, M., Spellberg, B., & Bartlett, J. (2009). Bad bugs, no drugs: No Escape, 48, 1, 1-12.
4. Roy, T., Dey, R., Dey, J. B. (2014). Determination of Incidence of Extended spectrum of Beta Lactamase (ESBL) producing gram negative bacteria at tertiary care hospital servicing a rural population. *Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences (SJAMS)*; 2(5F); 1906-1908.
5. Beekmann, S. E., Heilmann, K. P., Richter, S. S., García-de-Lomas, J., Doern, G. V., & GRASP Study Group. (2005). Antimicrobial resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Moraxella catarrhalis* and group A β -haemolytic streptococci in 2002–2003: Results of the multinational GRASP Surveillance Program. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 25(2), 148-156.
6. Collee, J. E. (1989). Mackie and McCartney practical medical microbiology. (14th edition).
7. Forbes, B. A., Sahm, D. F., & Weissfeld, A. S. (2007). Laboratory methods and strategies for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *Bailey & Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology*. 12th ed. Mosby, St. Louis, 187-214.
8. Clinical laboratories standard institute-CLSI. Performance of standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests: 2012.
9. Itokazu, G. S., Quinn, J. P., Bell-Dixon, C., Kahan, F. M., & Weinstein, R. A. (1996). Antimicrobial resistance rates among aerobic gram-negative bacilli recovered from patients in intensive care units: evaluation of a national postmarketing surveillance program. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 23(4), 779-784.
10. Kumar, M. S., Lakshmi, V., & Rajagopalan, R. (2006). Occurrence of extended spectrum beta-lactamases among Enterobacteriaceae spp. isolated at a tertiary care institute. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 24(3), 208.
11. Anago, E., Ayi-Fanou, L., Akpovi, C. D., Hounkpe, W. B., Tchibozo, M. A. D., Bankole, H. S., & Sanni, A. (2015). Antibiotic resistance and genotype of beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* in nosocomial infections in Cotonou, Benin. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*, 14(1), 1.
12. Oberoi, L., Singh, N., Sharma, P., & Aggarwal, A. (2013). ESBL, MBL and Ampc β lactamases producing superbugs-Havoc in the intensive care units of Punjab India. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 7(1), 70-3.
13. Kashyap, G., Gupta, S., Mamoria, V. P., Durlabhji, P., & Jain, D. (2013). Increasing prevalence of extended spectrum beta lactamases (ESBLs) producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp in outpatient departments (OPDS) patients in urinary tract infections (UTIS) in Tertiary care hospital. *International Journal of Current Research and Review*, 5(11), 80.
14. Sharma, M., Pathak, S., & Srivastava, P. (2013). Prevalence and antibiogram of Extended Spectrum β -Lactamase (ESBL) producing Gram negative bacilli and further molecular characterization of ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 7(10), 2173-7.
15. Ndugulile, F., Jureen, R., Harthug, S., Urassa, W., & Langeland, N. (2005). Extended Spectrum β -Lactamases among Gram-negative bacteria of nosocomial origin from an Intensive Care Unit of a tertiary health facility in Tanzania. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 5(1), 86.
16. Harakuni, S., Karadesai, S. G., Muntal, M. B., Metgud, S. C. (2011). The prevalence of

- Extended spectrum of Beta Lactamase producing clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae in the intensive care unit patients of a tertiary care hospital. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Health*, 4, 96-98.
17. Shanthi, M., & Sekar, U. (2010). Extended spectrum beta lactamase producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae: risk factors for infection and impact of resistance on outcomes. *Journal of Association of Physicians of India*, 58(Suppl), 41-44.
 18. Sarojamma, V., & Ramakrishna, V. (2011). Prevalence of ESBL-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates in tertiary care hospital. *ISRN Microbiology*, 2011.
 19. Varaiya, A., Dogra, J., Kulkarni, M., & Bhalekar, P. (2008). Extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) producing Escherichia coli and klebsiella pneumoniae in diabetic foot infection. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 26(3), 281-282.
 20. Jabeen, K., Znfar, A., & Hasan, R. (2005). Frequency and sensitivity pattern of Extended Spectrum beta Lactamase producing isolates in a tertiary care hospital laboratory of Pakistan. *Journal-Pakistan Medical Association*, 55(10), 436-439.
 21. Ali, A. M., Rafi, S., & Qureshi, A. H. (2004). Frequency of extended spectrum beta lactamase producing gram negative bacilli among clinical isolates at clinical laboratories of Army Medical College, Rawalpindi. *Proteus*, 2(11), 25.
 22. Muvunyi, C. M., Masaisa, F., Bayingana, C., Mutesa, L., Musemakweri, A., & Muhirwa, G. (2011). Decreased susceptibility to commonly used antimicrobial agents in bacterial pathogens isolated from urinary tract infections in Rwanda: need for new antimicrobial guidelines. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 84(6), 923-928.
 23. Mohammadi-Mehr, M., & Feizabadi, M. M. (2011). Antimicrobial resistance pattern of Gram-negative bacilli isolated from patients at ICUs of Army hospitals in Iran. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology*, 3(1), 26-30.